The Squeezed Atom Laser

Simon Haine and Joe Hope Australian Centre for Quantum-Atom Optics, Australian National University Canberra







Theory:

Joe Hope, Mattias Johnsson, Simon Haine Sebastian Wuester, Craig Savage

Atom Laser Experiment:

Nick Robins, Cristina Figl, Matthew Jeppesen, Julien Dugue, John Close

Squeezing at Rubidium Wavelengths Experiment: Katie Pilypas, Magnus Hsu, Gabriel Hetet, Oliver Glockl, Charles Harb, Pingkoy Lam, Hans Bachor

Squeezing

Amplitude and Phase are conjugate observables

Can't have a state with perfectly well defined amplitude **and** phase

Motivation:

Precision measurement:

- Atom lasers useful for precision measurement.
- Atomic shot noise will limit the sensitivity of any measurement.
- Squeezing will reduce shot noise.

Fundamental:

- Tests of entanglement with massive particles.

Why atom laser?

- Can use amplitude and phase quadratures as conjugate observables.

Juccted

Control

• In certain regimes, each photon for the probe beam gives you one outcoupled atom.

Single mode model

• Adiabatically eliminate excited state $(\hat{\psi}_3)$

• Assume control field Ω and condensate field ψ_1 are large and coherent (semiclassical approximation)

$$i\dot{\hat{\psi}}_2 = \omega_2\hat{\psi}_2 - \Omega_C\hat{E}$$
$$i\dot{\hat{E}} = \omega_0\hat{E} - \Omega_C^*\hat{\psi}_2$$

Rabi flopping

 $\hat{\psi}_2(t) = \cos(\Omega_C t)\hat{\psi}_2(0) - i\sin(\Omega_C t)\hat{E}(0)$

$$\hat{E}(t) = \cos(\Omega_C t)\hat{E}(0) - i\sin(\Omega_C t)\hat{\psi}_2(0)$$

Hui Jing *et al.* PRA 63, 015601, (2000).

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{atom}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{light}}$$
$$= \int \hat{\psi}_1^{\dagger}(x) H_1 \hat{\psi}_1(x) dx + \int \hat{\psi}_2^{\dagger}(x) (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2) \hat{\psi}_2(x) dx + \int \hat{\psi}_3^{\dagger}(x) (-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \hbar \omega_0) \hat{\psi}_3(x) dx$$
$$+ \hbar \int (\hat{\psi}_2(x) \hat{\psi}_3^{\dagger}(x) \Omega(x, t) + h.c.) dx + \hbar g_{13} \int (\hat{E}(x) \hat{\psi}_1(x) \hat{\psi}_3^{\dagger} + h.c.) dx + \mathcal{H}_{\text{light}}$$

approximations...

- Far detuned ignore spontaneous emission
- Condensate and control field remain in coherent state.

Heisenberg Equations of Motion:

$$i\dot{\psi}_2(x) = \left(-\frac{\hbar}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{|\Omega_{23}|^2}{\Delta}\right)\dot{\psi}_2(x) - \Omega_C \tilde{E}(x)$$

$$i\dot{\tilde{E}}(x) = (-ic\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - (\omega_0 - \Delta))\tilde{E} - \Omega_C^*\hat{\psi}_2(x)$$

Outcoupled atoms

Optical probe field

$$\Omega_C = \frac{g_{13}\Omega_{23}}{\Delta} e^{-ik_0 x} \phi_1(x) \quad \phi_1(x,t) = \langle \hat{\psi}_1(x) \rangle$$

$$i\dot{\phi}_1(x) = \left(-\frac{\hbar}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_{trap}(x) - \frac{g_{13}^2}{\Delta}\langle \tilde{E}^{\dagger}(x)\tilde{E}(x)\rangle\right)\phi_1(x) - \Omega_C\langle \tilde{E}^{\dagger}(x)\hat{\psi}_2(x)\rangle$$

Trapped atoms

Solution:

$$\hat{\psi}_2(x,t) = \sum_i f_i(x,t)\hat{a}_i + \sum_i g_i(x,t)\hat{b}_i$$
$$\tilde{E}(x,t) = \sum_i p_i(x,t)\hat{b}_i + \sum_i q_i(x,t)\hat{a}_i$$

$$i\dot{f}_{i}(x) = H_{a}f_{i}(x) - \tilde{\Omega}_{C}q_{i}(x)$$
$$i\dot{g}_{i}(x) = H_{a}g_{i}(x) - \tilde{\Omega}_{C}p_{i}(x)$$
$$i\dot{p}_{i}(x) = H_{b}p_{i}(x) - \tilde{\Omega}_{C}^{*}g_{i}(x)$$
$$i\dot{q}_{i}(x) = H_{b}q_{i}(x) - \tilde{\Omega}_{C}^{*}f_{i}(x)$$

Quantum Fluctuations

Perfect squeezing $v(\hat{N}) = 0$ No squeezing $v(\hat{N}) = 1$

S. A. Haine and J. J. Hope Las. Phys. Lett. **2** 597 (2005)

Quadrature Squeezing

$$\hat{X}^{+} = \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} L_{\psi}^{*}(x,t)\hat{\psi}(x,t) + L_{\psi}(x,t)\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x,t)dx$$

$$\hat{X}^{-} = i \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} L_{\psi}^{*}(x,t)\hat{\psi}(x,t) - L_{\psi}(x,t)\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x,t)dx$$

$$V(\hat{X}^{+})V(\hat{X}^{-}) \ge 1$$

$$V(\hat{X}^{+}) \stackrel{0.6}{\underset{0.4}{0.2}}_{0} \stackrel{0.2}{\underset{0}{0}} \stackrel{0.2}{\underset{0}{22}} \stackrel{0.2}{\underset{24}{26}} \stackrel{0.3}{\underset{0}{28}} \stackrel{0.3}{\underset{30}{32}} \stackrel{0.3}{\underset{34}{36}} \stackrel{0.3}{\underset{38}{38}} \stackrel{0.4}{\underset{40}{38}} \stackrel{0.3}{\underset{1}{36}} \stackrel{0.3}{\underset{1}{36}} \stackrel{0.4}{\underset{1}{36}} \stackrel{0.4$$

• Homodyne detector required to measure quadratures.

- could use bright atom laser from same BEC as local oscillator.

Atom-Light Entanglement

Entangled atom laser beams

- By making measurements on one beam, can infer results of measurements on the other beam to better than the uncertainty principle.
- Fundamental tests of entanglement with massive particles.
- Practical applications: Precision measurement below the quantum limit, teleportation.

Flux Squeezing

EPR Criterion

Amplitude and phase quadratures:

Commutation relations give:

$$\hat{X}^{+} = \int (L^{*}(x,t)\hat{\psi}(x) + L(x,t)\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x))dx$$
$$\hat{X}^{-} = i \int (L^{*}(x,t)\hat{\psi}(x) - L(x,t)\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(x))dx$$

To demonstrate the EPR criterion:

$V^{\inf}(\hat{X}^{\scriptscriptstyle +})V^{\inf}(\hat{X}^{\scriptscriptstyle -}) < 1$

How hard is this experiment?

Things we need:

- Raman atom laser. 🗸
- Squeezed light at atom optics frequencies - on the way
- Atom detection with high quantum efficiency. - hard, but has been done before
- Homodyne detector for quadrature squeezing. - we have some ideas.

Summary:

- Can use squeezed light to generate a squeezed atom laser.
- Can generate entangled atom laser beams, and entanglement between atomic beam and optical beam.
- Should be possible with realistic parameters.

Advertisement

Thank you