# 2004 Quantum Optics Group

Ping Koy Lam, Thomas Symul, Timothy Ralph, Andrew Lance, Vikram Sharma, Nicolai Grosse, Christian Weedbrook, Kirk McKenzie and Warwick Bowen

> Quantum Optics Group Department of Physics The Australian National University Canberra, ACT 0200



# Papers and Projects in 2004



- 12 Refereed articles in 2003
- 10 Refereed articles in 2004
- Tripartite quantum state sharing
  - Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 177903 (2004).
- Squeezing in the audio gravitational-wave detection band
  - Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 161105 (2004).
- Quantum cryptography without switching
  - Phys.Rev. Lett. 93, 170504 (2004).

# Our presentation

- Ping Koy:
  - Audio frequency squeezing experiment.

McKenzie, Grosse, Bowen, Gray, McClelland and Lam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 161105 (2004)

• Ping Koy:

- Quantum cryptography theory

Weedbrook, Lance, Bowen, Symul, Ralph and Lam, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **93**, 170504 (2004)

- Thomas:
  - Quantum cryptography experiment.

Could we have another Phys. Rev. Lett. Please?

### Audio Freq. Sqz for Grav. Wave Detection

Kirk McKenzie`\*, Nicolai Grosse\*, Warwick Bowen\*, Stanley Whitcomb†, Malcolm Gray`, David McClelland` and Ping Koy Lam`\*

`The Center for Gravitational Physics\*The Quantum Optics Group†Californian Institute of Technology

# Gravitational Waves



# Techniques for reducing optical noise

- Resonant relaxation oscillation noise
- Laser phase noise
- Photo-refractive noise (phase matching)
- Photo-expansive noise (heating)
- Pump noise (green light)
- Seed noise (IR light)
- Quantum noise
- Acousto-optic noise
- Electro-optic noise
- Electrical noise (power supplies)
- Cross talk (lack of balancing, polarization extinction)
- Beam pointing noise
- Detector noise
- Resonator noise (detuning)
- Compound cavity/ Intra-cavity noise

- Nonlinear / quantum technique
- Common mode rejection technique
- Active feedback control technique
- Passive environmental stabilization technique

### Previous Low Frequency Results



- Recent CW LF Results include
  - 220kHz -W.P. Bowen et al. [1]
  - 80kHz R. Schnabel et al. [2]
  - 50kHz J. Laurat *et al.* [3]

All experiments rely mostly on common mode noise cancellation!



[1] W.P. Bowen *et al.* J. Opt. B **4** 421 (2002)
[2] R. Schnabel *et al.* arXiv quant-ph/0402064 (2004)
[3] J. Laurat *et al* arXiv:quant-ph/0403224 (2004)

# OPA/OPO Theory II

The variances in the frequency domain for the OPA/OPO output are;

$$V_{OUT}^{\pm}(\omega) = C_s V_s^{\pm}(\omega) + C_l V_l^{\pm}(\omega) + C_v^{\pm}(\omega) V_v^{\pm}(\omega) + \alpha^2 \left( C_p V_p^{\pm}(\omega) + C_{\Delta}^{\pm} V_{\Delta}^{-}(\omega) \right)$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$
Seed Loss Vacuum Pump Detuning

(f < 2MHz) (f < 2MHz) (f < 50kHz) (f < 50kHz) For below threshold OPO  $\alpha = 0$  and  $V_s^{\pm} = 1$ ;

$$V_{OPO}^{\pm}(\omega) = C_s + C_l + C_v^{\pm}(\omega)$$

OPO is immune to laser noise, pump noise and detuning noise!

No one really have experimentally done an OPO squeezing experiment properly!

# **OPA Squeezed Quadrature Spectrum**



RBW = 128Hz, RMS averages = 1000, Electronic noise (at -12dB) subtracted from all traces

# OPO Squeezing Without/With Isolator



RBW = 8Hz, No.RMS ave = 400 without isolator, 500 for QNL and with Isolator. Electronic noise (not shown) was not subtracted

#### **OPO Squeezed Quadrature Spectrum**



From 100Hz-3.2kHz: RBW = 8Hz, no. RMS ave = 500 From 1.6kHz-12.8kH: RBW = 32Hz, no. RMS ave = 1000 From 3.8kHz-100kHz: RBW = 128Hz, no. RMS ave = 2000

Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 161105 (2004)

# Conclusions & Future Work

	[This Work]				[3] [2] [1]		[1985-2002]	
		LIGO DETE	CTION BAND					
1Hz	10Hz	100Hz	1kHz	10kHz	100kł	iz	1MHz	10MHz

#### Conclusions

- Need to get rid of almost all IR photon to get real OPO!
- 200Hz Squeezing.
- Noise locking technique to lock a squeezed vacuum.

#### **Future Work**

- To get down to 10Hz in squeezing
  - Photo-thermal analysis, line-noise subtraction, more advanced locking loops.
- To get 10 dB squeezing
  - New GrIIRA free crystals
  - Increase OPO escape efficiency
  - Increase observable universal by a factor of  $\sim$ 36.

# The BB84 Protocol



[Bennett and Brassard, Proceedings IEEE., (1984)]

What do we need to implement quantum cryptography? What role does quantum mechanics play?

# Necessary ingredients for QKD

- Single photons...
- Qubits...
- Squeezing...
- Quantum correlations (sub-quantum noise correlations)...
- Entanglement...
- Alice and Bob share more information than Eve  $(I_{AB}>I_{AE})...$
- Bob needs to randomly switch measurement basis...

# None of the above!

# Really necessary ingredients for QKD

- We only need 2 things
- Differential correlations
  - Alice and Bob shares different information from Alice and Eve



- Known bounds
  - Heisenberg uncertainty, no-cloning limit, Shannon, entropy, etc.
  - There exist classical information protocols to distill secret key, amplify privacy, reconcile data remotely.

# A great number of QKD proposals are overkills!

# Continuous Variable Quantum Cryptography



• No need single photon, squeezing, entanglement... Just coherent states [Grosshans and Grangier, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 57902 (2002)]

[C. Silberhorn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (2002)]

• No need to switch measurement basis

[Weedbrook, Lance, Bowen, Symul, Ralph and Lam, Phys. Rev. Lett., 93, 170504 (2004)]

# Quantum Cryptography without Switching



The Simultaneous Quadrature Measurement (SQM) Protocol.

- Alice: Encodes random numbers on both non-commuting quadratures on a coherent state.
- Alice: Transmits the coherent states.
- Bob: Measures both quadratures simultaneously.
- Analyse SQM protocol in the context of Grosshans and Grangier reverse reconciliation protocol.

# G&G Reverse Reconciliation (GGRR)

- Direct reconciliation
  - Bob tries to guess what Alice has sent.
  - Loss 50% => Eve has the upper hand.

- Reverse reconciliation
  - Alice tries to guess what Bob has measured.
  - Loss of any amount still has
     Alice knowing more than Eve.



# Secret Key Rate

$$\hat{X}_{E|B}^{\pm} = \hat{X}_{B}^{\pm} - \alpha \hat{X}_{E}^{\pm} 
\hat{X}_{A|B}^{\mp} = \hat{X}_{B}^{\mp} - \beta \hat{X}_{A}^{\mp} \qquad I = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left(1 + S/N\right)$$

$$[\hat{X}^+_{E|B}, \hat{X}^-_{A|B}] = [\hat{X}^+_B, \hat{X}^-_B] = 2i$$

$$\Delta I = \Delta I^{+} + \Delta I^{-}$$
  
=  $(I_{BA}^{+} - I_{BE}^{+}) + (I_{BA}^{-} - I_{BE}^{-})$ 

$$\Delta I = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left( \frac{V_{E|B}^+ V_{E|B}^-}{V_{A|B}^+ V_{A|B}^-} \right)$$
 Eve's Conditional Variance Variance Variance Variance

#### The SQM Protocol - Results



The SQM Protocol - Results



- Experimentally a lot simpler
  - The process of switching in continuous variables, limits the bandwidth in the cryptographic protocols.
- Applicable to all existing CVQC.

# Virtual entanglement

